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#### Abstract

Novel compounds containing open chain $\mathrm{Sb}(\mathrm{OAs})_{2}$ units have been synthesised by reacting $\mathrm{Ar}_{3} \mathrm{SbBr}_{2}(\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph}$ or $p$-tol) with $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{AsLi}$ in dry THF/benzene under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, followed by a work up of the reaction mixture under ambient conditions. Their single crystal structures revealed that the geometry of antimony is trigonal bipyramidal and that of arsenic somewhat distorted pyramidal. The $\mathrm{Sb} \cdots$ As distance is shorter than the sum of their van der Waals radii, but this does not severely distort the regular trigonal bipyramidal geometry of antimony. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra of these compounds are generally as expected. In the mass spectrum of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}\left(\mu-\mathrm{OAsP}_{2}\right)_{2}$, the molecular ion peak was observed, but for the other compound, peaks of only its fragments were observed. The $v(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{As})$ appears at $744 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in IR spectra of these compounds. © 1999 Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

There is current interest [1-8] in small clusters, cages and rings formed by antimony alone or with phosphorus and arsenic. The attractive features of these systems include the novel co-ordination geometry of antimony [5], the unusual ligation mode of groups [4] used as building blocks in these systems and the possible applications as CVD precursors [9] for III-V semiconductors. In two recent publications, a trinuclear antimony moiety has been synthesised, in one case as a cation [5] $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{Sb}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}-\mathrm{SbMe}_{2}\right]^{+}$and in another as a neutral entity $\mathrm{R}_{3}^{\prime} \mathrm{R}_{4} \mathrm{Sb}_{3}$ [7] ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}$ or $p$-tol; $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Ph}, p$-tol or Me ). It was therefore thought worthwhile to design an oxobridged triheteronuclear cluster $\mathrm{Ar}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}\left(\mu-\mathrm{OAsPh}_{2}\right)_{2}$. These are the first examples containing a AsOSbOAs

[^0]unit in which antimony is in oxidation state V and arsenic in III. In the literature, only a few reports on cage compounds [1] having $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Sb}$ and $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$ as units exist. Recently, compounds having oxobridged $\mathrm{Sb}(\mathrm{V})$ and $\mathrm{As}(\mathrm{V})$ have also been described [2]. An adduct of 10 -chlorophenoxarsine oxide with $\mathrm{SbCl}_{5}$ reported by Holliday et al. ([10]a) also has As-O-Sb units. In the structure of dimeric triphenylstibine oxide ([10]b) the presence of $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Sb}$ units has also been established. The distance between antimony and arsenic in the present AsOSbOAs unit is shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii of both Sb and As.

## 2. Experimental

All solvents were dried, made oxygen free and redistilled before use. Triphenylarsine (Merck), lithium metal (Merck) and 2-chloro-2-methylpropane (Fluka)
were used as-received. The published method ([11]a) was used to prepare a deep red solution (in dry THF) of $\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ AsLi. To synthesise $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SbBr}_{2}$ ([11]b) and $p$ ${ }^{\text {tol }}{ }_{3} \mathrm{SbBr}_{2}$ [12], published methods were used. These compounds were stored under oxygen-free nitrogen.

C and H analyses were performed on a 240 C PerkinElmer Analyser. The IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 5DX FT-IR spectrometer as KBr pellets. NMR spectra, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(99.55 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(25.0 \mathrm{MHz})$, were recorded on a JEOL JNM FX-100 FT-NMR spectrometer using $\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}$ as an internal standard. The FAB MS spectra were recorded on a JEOL JMS-SX 102A mass spectrometer using 3-NOBA as matrix.

### 2.1. Triphenylantimony(V)bis $\{(\mu$-oxo $)-$ diphenylarsenic (III) $\}, \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}\left(\mathrm{OAsPh}_{2}\right)_{2}, \mathbf{1}$

$\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SbBr}_{2}(2.56 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in dry benzene $(20 \mathrm{ml})$ was added dropwise to a solution of $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{AsLi}$ ( 10 mmol ) generated in situ in dry THF (freshly distilled) under an oxygen-free nitrogen atmosphere (15 $\mathrm{ml})$. The deep red colour of mixture due to $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{AsLi}$ faded to light yellow. Thereafter, the mixture was stirred for 30 min , the solvent was removed in vacuo and the pasty mass left was extracted with 50 ml of benzene. The undissolved LiBr and LiCl were filtered off from the benzene extract and it was concentrated to $10 \mathrm{ml} . \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}\left(\mathrm{OAsPh}_{2}\right)_{2}$ was obtained as a colourless crystalline solid by adding hexane ( 5 ml ) to this concentrate. It was recrystallised from benzene:hexane (mois-ture-free) mixture (1:1) and dried in vacuo. Yield: 2.53 g, $60 \%$, m.p. $144^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Sb}$ : C, 59.82; H, 4.18. Found: C, 59.30; H, 4.03. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta 7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}, m$ and $p$ to As plus $m$ and $p$ to Sb ), $7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}, o$ to As$), 8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$, $o$ to Sb ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 150.9$ (C ipso to Sb ), 140.6 (C ipso to As), 137.2(C $o$ to Sb and As ), 132.9(C $p$ to Sb ), 131.0( $\mathrm{C} m$ to Sb ), 130.1 ( $\mathrm{C} p$ and $\mathrm{C} m$ to As ). IR (KBr): $744 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(v(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{As}))$. FAB MS: $m / z 842$, 844 (M), $840(\mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{H}), 765,767(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Ph}), 686\left(\mathrm{M}-\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2}+\right.\right.$ $2 \mathrm{H})$ ), 613, $615\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{As}\right), 597,599\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{AsO}\right), 551$ $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{SbSbPh}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right), 520,522\left(\mathrm{M}-\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{AsO}+\mathrm{Ph}\right)\right), 504$, $506\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{SbAsPh}_{2}\right), 490(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Ph} 3 \mathrm{Sb}), 474\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SbO}\right)$, $458\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{AsAsPh}_{2}\right), 369,371\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SbO}+\mathrm{H}\right), 352,354$ $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}\right), 322\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{AsOPh}\right), 306\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{As}\right), 275,277$ $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{Sb}\right), 273,275\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{Sb}\right), 245\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{AsO}\right), 229$ $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{As}\right), 227$ ( $\mathrm{PhAs}_{2}$ ), 198, 200 ( PhSb ), 168 ( $\mathrm{PhAsO)}$, $154\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2}\right), 152$ (PhAs), 137, 139 (SbO), 75 (As). The isotopic pattern of antimony was observed for strong peaks only.

### 2.2. Tri-p-tolylanitmony(V)bis $\{(\mu$-oxo )diphenylarsenic(III)\}, p-tol $\mathrm{Sb}_{3}\left(\mathrm{OAsPh}_{2}\right)_{2}, \boldsymbol{2}$

Compound 2 was prepared by a method similar to
that of 1, except that $p$-tol $\mathrm{SbBr}_{2}(2.77 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was used in place of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SbBr}_{2}$ and 2 was finally recrystallized from a chloroform:hexane mixture (1:1), as colourless crystals. Yield: $2.88 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$, m.p. $176^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Sb}: \mathrm{C}, 61.05 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.67$. Found: C, 61.32; H, 4.64. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta 1.99$ (s, $\left.9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}, m$ to Sb plus $m$ and $p$ to $\mathrm{As}), 7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$, o to As), 8.26 (m, 6H, ArH, o to Sb$) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 152.0(\mathrm{C} p$ to Sb$), 143.6$

Table 1
Crystallographic data, measurements and refinements of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}(\mathrm{OAsPh})_{2}, \mathbf{1}$, and $p-$ tol ${ }_{3} \mathrm{Sb}\left(\mathrm{OAsPh}_{2}\right)_{2}, 2$

| Compound | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Sb}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Sb}$ |
| Formula weight | 838.3 | 885.4 |
| Colour, habit | Colourless, irregular | Colourless, prism |
| Temperature (K) | 298 | 293 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P \overline{1}$ | $P \overline{1}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions: |  |  |
| $a($ (̊) | 10.136(2) | 10.210(2) |
| b ( ${ }_{\text {A }}$ ) | 14.002(2) | 13.933(2) |
| c (A) | 14.287(2) | 15.323(2) |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 113.71(2) | 107.18(2) |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 96.45(2) | 102.36(2) |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}\right.$ | 93.33(2) | 97.29(2) |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 1832.8(3) | 1991.8(4) |
| $Z$ | 2 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {calc. }}\left(\mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.519 | 1.476 |
| Absorption coefficient ( $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ ) | 2.578 | 2.377 |
| $F(000)$ | 830 | 888 |
| Crystal size ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | $0.56 \times 0.4 \times 0.3$ | $0.42 \times 0.24 \times 0.10$ |
| Scan speed ( ${ }^{\circ} \min ^{-1}$ in $\omega$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Variable; } 8.00- \\ & 100.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Variable; } 4.00- \\ & 100.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Scan range, $\omega\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | 1.24 | 1.06 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \leq h \leq 13,-16 \leq \\ & k \leq 16,-18 \leq l \leq \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \leq h \leq 13,-17 \leq \\ & \mathrm{k} \leq 16,-19 \leq l \leq \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 8587 | 10354 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{aligned} & 8128\left(R_{\mathrm{int}}=\right. \\ & 3.41 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9755\left(R_{\text {int }}=\right. \\ & 11.81 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Min/max transmission | 0.2973/0.3566 | 0.3162/0.4444 |
| Quantity minimized | $\Sigma w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}-F_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}$ | $\Sigma w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}-F_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}$ |
| Extinction correction ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\chi=-0.00014(7)$ | $\chi=-0.00021(7)$ |
| Number of parameters refined | 415 | 452 |
| Final $R$ indices ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ (obs. data) | $\begin{aligned} & R=5.56 \%, w R= \\ & 5.92 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R=6.07 \%, w R= \\ & 6.17 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $\begin{aligned} & R=12.42 \%, w R= \\ & 9.14 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R=15.69 \%, w R= \\ & 8.61 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Goodness-of-fit | 1.13 | 1.16 |
| Largest, mean $\Delta / \sigma$ | 2.043, 0.016 | 3.116, 0.009 |
| Data-to-parameter ratio | 9.7:1 | 9.0:1 |
| Largest difference peak and hole $\left(\mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}\right.$ ) | 0.74, -0.79 | 0.80, -0.64 |



Fig. 1. Molecular structure (ORTEP diagram) of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}\left(\mathrm{OAsPh}_{2}\right)_{2}, \mathbf{1}$.
tion data were collected on a Siemens P4/PC system using graphite monochromated $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ). The $\omega$ scan mode was used. The $2 \theta$ values were in the range $3.0^{\circ}-55.0^{\circ}$. The background measurements were made for $25 \%$ of total scan time keeping the crystal and counter stationary at the beginning and end of the scan. Three standard reflections were measured after every 97 reflections. The semi-empirical absorption corrections were made. The SHELXTL PLUS (PC version) [13] program was used to refine the structures. The structure was solved by direct methods and for final refinement cycle the fullmatrix least-squares method was used. The hydrogen atoms were included by the riding model (fixed isotropic $U$ ). The crystal data and other details of data collection, solution and refinement are given in Table 1.

## 3. Results and discussion

The $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{AsLi}$ generated according to Eq. (1) on reaction with $\mathrm{Ar}_{3} \mathrm{SbBr}_{2}$, results in $\mathbf{1}$ or 2 (Eq. (2)), which are the first examples of compounds containing the $\mathrm{Sb}(\mathrm{OAs})_{2}$ unit.
$\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{As} \underset{\text { (ii) } t-\mathrm{BuCl} / \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{N}_{2}}{\stackrel{\text { (i) } 2 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{N}_{2}}{ } \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{AsLi}+\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}+\mathrm{LiCl}+\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} .}$
$2 \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{AsLi}+\mathrm{Ar}_{3} \mathrm{SbBr}_{2} \xrightarrow{\text { benzene } / \mathrm{N}_{2}} \mathrm{Ar}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{2}\right)_{2} \xrightarrow{\text { air }} \mathrm{Ar}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}\left(\mathrm{OAsPh}_{2}\right)_{2}$
$\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph}$ or $p-\mathrm{tol}$
(C ipso to As), 138.0 (C ipso to Sb ), 133.6 (C o to Sb and As ), 132.4 ( $\mathrm{C} m$ to Sb ), 131.0 ( $\mathrm{C} p$ and $\mathrm{C} m$ to As) $23.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. IR ( KBr ): $744 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(v(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{As}))$. FAB MS: $m / z 716(\mathrm{M}-(p-t o l+\mathrm{Ph})), 702\left(\mathrm{M}-(p-t o l)_{2}\right)$, $655,657\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{As}\right), 639,641\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{AsO}\right), 548,550$ $\left(\mathrm{M}-\left(p-\right.\right.$ tol $\left.\left.+\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{AsO}\right)\right), \quad 534 \quad\left(\mathrm{M}-\left((p-\text { tol })_{2}+\mathrm{PhAsO}\right)\right)$, $\left.487(p \text {-tol })_{3} \mathrm{SbOPh}\right), 474\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{AsOAsPh}_{2}\right), 411,413(p-$ tol $\left._{3} \mathrm{SbO}+\mathrm{H}\right), 303,305\left(p-\right.$ tol $\left._{2} \mathrm{Sb}\right), 245\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{AsO}\right), 229$ $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{As}\right), 154\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2}\right), 137,139(\mathrm{SbO}), 91$ ( $p$-tol). Only in strong peaks was the isotopic pattern of antimony noticed.

## 2.3. $X$-ray diffraction analysis

The colourless single crystals of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 were grown from benzene:hexane (1:1) and chloroform:hexane(1:1) mixtures, respectively. The diffrac-

It appears that the open chain triheteronuclear compound containing the $\mathrm{SbAs}_{2}$ unit was the most probable intermediate formed by the method summarised in Eqs. (1) and (2) with the oxygen inserted into the $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{As}$ bond from air during the work up under ambient conditions. The insertion of oxygen (using molecular $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) into a $\mathrm{Sb}=\mathrm{Sb}$ bond has been used recently [14] to prepare a $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Sb}$ unit. However, we failed to isolate the intermediate containing the $\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{As}$ unit even after using very stringent conditions and Schlenk techniques. The compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 are soluble in common organic solvents and authenticated by elemental analyses. They can be stored under ambient conditions for several months without any sign of decomposition.

### 3.1. Spectral data

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are gen-


Fig. 2. Molecular structure (ORTEP diagram) of $p-\operatorname{tol} l_{3} \mathrm{Sb}\left(\mathrm{OAsPh}_{2}\right)_{2}, \mathbf{2}$.
erally as expected. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ assignments are based on the literature reports [12]. In the FAB MS spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$, the molecular ion peak was observed, but was not observed in that of $\mathbf{2}$. However, there are peaks in the mass spectrum of $\mathbf{2}$ that may be assigned to its fragments, e.g. $\left(\mathrm{M}-(p-\operatorname{tol})_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{M}-\left(p-\mathrm{tol}+\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{AsO}\right)\right)$ and ( $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{As}$ ), supporting indirectly the molecular stoichiometry of 2. In the IR spectra of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2, a band appearing at $744 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ was not noticed in the spectra of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SbBr}_{2}, p$-tol ${ }_{3} \mathrm{Sb}, \quad p$-tol $\mathrm{SbBr}_{2}, \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{As}$. Moreover, $v_{\mathrm{as}}(\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{As})$ is reported in [15] at 920 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ in the IR spectrum of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{As}(\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{OAs}(\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ and $v_{\text {as }}(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Sb})$ is assigned to a band ([10]b) appearing at $658 / 664 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the IR spectrum of triphenylstibine oxide dimer. Consequently, it is reasonable to conclude that the band appearing at $744 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ most probably originates from the asymmetric vibrations of the $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{As}$ unit.

### 3.1.1. Crystal structures

The atomic co-ordinates for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ along with equivalent isotropic displacement coefficients are available as supplementary material. The ORTEP diagrams (molecular structures) are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. The selected bond lengths and bond angles are given in

Table 2. The crystal of $\mathbf{1}$ was not of good quality, which resulted in disorder in one of the phenyl rings. The arrangement of donor atoms of various ligands around antimony is nearly a regular trigonal bipyramidal. The geometry of arsenic is somewhat distorted pyramidal. The distances between arsenic and antimony in $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are within the range $3.330-3.418 \AA$, which is significantly less than the sum of their van der Waals radii $(4.210 \AA)$ [16]. This may be interpreted in terms of intramolecular secondary interaction between arsenic and antimony. Some role of this secondary intramolecular linkage in stabilising the $\mu$-oxobridged $\mathrm{Sb}(\mathrm{OAs})_{2}$ unit of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ may be possible but in the structure of either of these two compounds, the proposed secondary linkage does not severely distort the geometry of Sb as expected for a hepta-co-ordination. So far only one authentic report on the VII co-ordination of Sb is available [17] in the literature. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distances and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angles of phenyl rings (1.250(38)$1.442(26) \AA$ and $110.1(22)-129.8(20)^{\circ}$, respectively) are normal. The $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths are in the ranges $2.041(7)-2.054(7)$ and $1.758(6)-1.781(5) \AA$, respectively, and comparable with the earlier reported $\mathrm{As} / \mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{O}$ single bond length [18]. However, the present As-O bond lengths are longer than those reported [2]

Table 2
Selected bond lengths ( $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ with estimated S.D.s in parentheses

| Compound | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bond length ( $\AA$ ) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 2.041(7) | 2.051(8) |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 2.051(7) | 2.054(7) |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 2.112(9) | $2.123(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 2.112(10) | 2.101(10) |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 2.108(9) | 2.117(9) |
| $\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.758(6) | 1.768(7) |
| $\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | 1.969(9) | - |
| $\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 1.777(23) | - |
| $\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{C}(31 \mathrm{~A})$ | 2.326(31) | - |
| $\mathrm{As}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 1.781(5) | 1.762(5) |
| $\mathrm{As}(2)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 1.961(13) | - |
| $\mathrm{As}(2)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 1.952(11) | - |
| $\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | - | 1.969(9) |
| $\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | - | 1.967(14) |
| $\mathrm{As}(2)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | - | 1.972(14) |
| $\mathrm{As}(2)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | - | 1.964(13) |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(1) \cdots \mathrm{As}(1)$ | 3.418 | 3.389 |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(1) \cdots \mathrm{As}(2)$ | 3.330 | 3.373 |
| Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 177.5(3) | 176.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 92.7(3) | 93.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 89.7(3) | 89.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 87.0(3) | 86.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 91.3(3) | 90.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 122.6(3) | 120.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 92.6(3) | 94.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 86.7(3) | 86.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 117.1(3) | 118.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 120.3(3) | 121.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | 99.2(4) | - |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 108.5(7) | - |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 94.0(7) | - |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{C}(31 \mathrm{~A})$ | 83.6(8) | - |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{C}(31 \mathrm{~A})$ | 97.2(7) | - |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{C}(31 \mathrm{~A})$ | 24.9 (10) | - |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{As}(2)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 96.6(4) | - |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{As}(2)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 99.7(3) | - |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{As}(2)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 96.0(5) | - |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{As}(1)$ | 128.1(4) | 124.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{As}(2)$ | 120.7(4) | 124.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | - | 100.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | - | 98.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{As}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | - | $95.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{As}(2)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | - | 95.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{As}(2)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | - | 101.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{As}(2)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | - | 97.6(6) |

$(1.701(3)-1.711(3) \quad \AA)$, for $\quad\left[\left(\mathrm{SbPh}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{O})_{2}(\mu-\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{AsMe}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Sb}\left(p-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{O})_{2}(\mu-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{AsMe}_{2}\right)_{2}$ ]. The bond lengths of $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{C}$ (2.101(10)-2.117(9) A) and As-C (1.777(23)-1.972(14) $\AA$ ) observed in the structures of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are similar to those reported for $\mathrm{Sb} / \mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C}$ single bond $[18,19]$
$\left(2.090(13)-2.175(6) \AA / 1.820(3)-1.911(4) \AA\right.$ ) ${ }^{(1)} \quad$ The $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{As}$ bond angles (120.7(4)-128.1(4) ${ }^{\circ}$ ) are larger than the values $\left(119.0(1)-119.5(1)^{\circ}\right)$ reported recently [2] for $\left[\left(\mathrm{SbAr}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{O})_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{AsMe}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$. This may be due to strong steric effects of bulky aryl groups attached to antimony and arsenic both.
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